



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,800	03/31/2006	Christopher C. Broder	044508-5023	9160

9629 7590 09/29/2009
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER

BLUMEL, BENJAMIN P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1648

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/29/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/528,800	Applicant(s) BRODER ET AL.	
	Examiner BENJAMIN P. BLUMEL	Art Unit 1648	

All Participants:

(1) BENJAMIN P. BLUMEL-PTO personnel.

(2) Robert Smyth-applicants representative.

Date of Interview: 17 September 2009

Status of Application: allowable

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: n/a

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23, 24 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

Bossart et al. (Journal of Virology, 2002, Vol. 76, No. 22, pages 11186-11198).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Status of claims 23, 24 and 26 were discussed and the examiner was authorized to cancel the claims and amend the specification. In addition, Bossart et al. was also discussed with regard to its potential as prior art. However, this has been excluded as prior art based on applicants declaration filed on 9/29/2008.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/BENJAMIN P BLUMEL/
Examiner, Art Unit 1648

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

